NOT YET SCHEDULED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Commonwealth of Kentucky, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Michael S. Regan, Administrator,

Respondents.

Case No. 24-1087 and consolidated cases

Joint Proposed Briefing Schedule and Format

As ordered by the Court on June 5, 2024, the parties jointly propose a schedule and format for briefing in this case.

Petitioners challenge the EPA action, Multi-Pollutant Emissions Standards for Model Years 2027 and Later Light-Duty and Medium-Duty Vehicles, 89 Fed. Reg. 27842 (Apr. 18, 2024). This Court has consolidated 8 petitions for review challenging that action. Petitioners are 26 states and 56 organizations and individuals. Respondents are EPA and Michael S. Regan, its Administrator. Respondent-Intervenors are 22 states, the District of Columbia, five local governments, and 16 public interest organizations and public companies.

The parties propose that the Court adopt the following briefing schedule and format:

Filing	Date due	Words
Petitioners' opening	September 6, 2024	26,000 words, shared
briefs		between up to 2 briefs
Briefs from any amici supporting Petitioners	September 13, 2024	6,500 words
Respondents' answering brief	November 26, 2024	26,000 words
Briefs from any amici supporting Respondents	December 6, 2024	6,500 words
Respondent-Intervenors'	December 23, 2024	18,200 words, shared
briefs		between up to 5 briefs
Petitioners' replies	January 13, 2025	13,000 words, shared
		between up to 2 briefs
Deferred appendix	January 24, 2025	n/a
Final briefs due	January 31, 2025	See above

In addition, all parties agree on the importance of scheduling oral argument soon after the conclusion of briefing and request that the Court schedule oral argument during the spring 2025 term. Respondent-Intervenors strongly support this request.

The parties' rationale for proposed briefing schedule

The proposed briefing intervals reflect a number of factors that the parties accounted for in this complex, multi-party case, including: time needed for some parties to coordinate with each other to avoid duplicative briefing, time needed for Respondents to obtain the necessary management approvals at the Justice Department and EPA, federal holidays, counsel's leave schedules, counsel's other

work commitments, and the parties' desire for these petitions for review to be resolved without undue delay.

As to the last two factors, the parties have proposed a briefing schedule that (a) minimizes conflict with briefing that will also start shortly in *Nebraska v. EPA*, Case No. 24-1129 and consolidated cases (D.C. Cir.), another complex petition-for-review matter involving many of the same parties and (b) allows for both sets of cases to be argued in spring 2025. *See, e.g., Concerned Household Elec.*Consumer's Council v. EPA, No. 22-1139 (D.C. Cir.) (holding oral argument for April 14, 2023, following briefing completed on February 21 of that year); *City of Port Isabel v. FERC*, No. 23-1174 (D.C. Cir.) (holding oral argument for May 17, 2024, following briefing completed on March 18 of that year).

Petitioners' rationale for separate briefs and word allocations

First, the proposed schedule provides adequate time to brief the petitions in an orderly fashion, commensurate with their complexity, while still enabling this Court to hear oral argument during the 2024-2025 Term and issue a decision by the end of that Term. Petitioners seek review of EPA's rule that prescribes or revises federal standards for greenhouse-gas emissions of light-duty vehicles of model years 2027 and later. Holding oral argument during the Court's 2024 Term will ensure the petitions are resolved expeditiously and provide all parties with regulatory certainty as soon as possible. Planning for model year 2027 is already

underway for some vehicles. It is in the interest of all concerned to resolve the petitions in a way that minimizes the number of model years that are affected by standards subject to ongoing dispute.

Second, separate briefs are appropriate here given the different interests of the States and the private petitioners. For example, the State petitioners have sovereign interests that the private petitioners do not, such as how EPA's action at issue in this case will directly affect the State petitioners' electric grids. Thus, the parties' differing interests may affect their arguments on both standing and the merits. The private petitioners plan to confer with the State petitioners about their challenges and to avoid presenting duplicative arguments in their separate brief.

Third, the proposed word limit is appropriate given the complexity of this significant dispute. Petitioners are a diverse group of 82 public and private entities and individuals that will present a wide range of arguments for why EPA's rule is unlawful. The proposed aggregate word limit of 26,000 is substantially less than the word count established in *Competitive Enterprise Institute et al* v. *EPA*, No. 20-1145 (order dated Oct. 19, 2020), which raised similar issues. It is more than the word count in the similar case, *Texas* v. *EPA*, No. 22-1031, but that is because there are many more petitioners in this challenge who plan to raise more issues than were raised in the prior litigation.

Respondent-Intervenors' rationale for separate briefs and word allocations

Respondent-Intervenors include five distinct sets of entities. The intervention motions of four have been granted: a coalition of 22 states, the District of Columbia, and four local governments (Doc. 2050867); a coalition of 12 public health and environmental organizations (Doc. 2051283); the Alliance for Automotive Innovation (Doc. 2055357); and Ford Motor Company (Doc. 2055386). They also include the Zero Emissions Transportation Association ("ZETA"), whose motion to intervene was filed on June 20, 2024 (Doc. 2060853). ZETA's motion to intervene has not been opposed, and the time for registering opposing has expired. Respondent-Intervenors will avoid duplication of briefing, but have distinct perspectives and are not able at this time to commit to joint briefing. Respondent-Intervenors respectfully request leave to file up to five briefs, even as they will undertake to combine where possible.

State and Local Government Respondent-Intervenors are 22 States, the District of Columbia, and four local governments (cities and counties). This Court ordinarily does not compel governmental intervenors to file joint briefs with other intervenors, D.C. Cir. R. 28(d)(4), and there is no reason to depart from that sound practice here. States have a well-established and particular "stake in protecting

¹ In *Texas v. EPA*, No. 22-1031, this Court authorized respondent-intervenors to file up to four briefs, Order (Sept. 22, 2022) (Doc. 1965622), but respondent-intervenors there filed only three briefs.

[their] quasi-sovereign interests" from the harms that vehicular greenhouse gas emissions cause. *Massachusetts v. EPA*, 549 U.S. 497, 520 (2007). They should not be required to advocate for their quasi-sovereign and sovereign interests in a joint brief with other parties.

The Public Interest Organization Respondent-Intervenors are 12 national and regional nonprofit environmental and public health organizations committed to protecting their members from the effects of harmful air pollution, including effects traceable to climate change, and to advancing their members' interest in wider availability of cleaner vehicles. They have a different perspective from the other Respondent-Intervenors, who include state and municipal governments, vehicle manufacturers, and other industry parties. The Public Interest Organization Respondent-Intervenors will coordinate with other parties to avoid duplication, but should be allowed to file their own brief.

Respondent-intervenor Alliance for Automotive Innovation ("Auto Innovators") is the trade association that represents all full-line, global vehicle manufacturers who produce and sell internal-combustion-powered as well as electric vehicles in the United States. The members of Auto Innovators are the primary regulated parties for EPA's multi-pollutant emissions regulations, and collectively they manufacture approximately 95 percent of new cars and light trucks sold in this country. Auto Innovators is the only industry respondent-

intervenor able to defend, on behalf of all its members, several provisions of EPA's regulations that are critical to all its members' compliance with EPA's regulations, notwithstanding differences in its members' specific technologies and market strategies. Auto Innovators will coordinate with other parties to avoid duplication, and should be permitted to file a separate brief, as the Court allowed in *Texas v*. *EPA* (No. 22-1031), the proceedings for review of EPA's greenhouse-gas regulations for model years 2023-2026.

Respondent-Intervenor Ford Motor Company ("Ford") manufactures and sells vehicles in the United States and around the world. Ford employs more than 57,000 hourly manufacturing workers in the U.S., more than any other automaker. In 2023, Ford sold nearly 2 million vehicles in the U.S., including America's bestselling gasoline pickup truck, best-selling electric pickup truck, and best-selling full-size hybrid pickup truck. Although Ford is a member of Auto Innovators, it should be allowed to file a separate brief. Auto Innovators have intervened with regard to two discrete provisions of the challenged Rule: the provision allowing inclusion of electric vehicles in fleetwide compliance demonstrations, and the provision excluding "upstream emissions" from compliance determinations. Ford intends to more broadly defend the EPA's ability to establish the Rule's emissions standards, and its brief will discuss issues the Auto Innovators will not take a position on. Ford will coordinate with Auto Innovators and other parties to avoid

duplication, but should be permitted to file its own brief to provide its perspective as a manufacturer in areas that will not be addressed by other Respondent-Intervenors.

ZETA represents the interests of electric vehicle manufacturers, which are regulated entities, and other businesses that have made significant investments in the development and adoption of zero emission vehicles. ZETA supports the challenged action and its members have financial and reliance interests at stake. Unlike the State and Local Government Respondent-Intervenors and the Public Interest Organization Respondent-Intervenors, ZETA represents private industry, including regulated parties. And ZETA's interests are distinct from the other Respondent-Intervenors representing private companies for two key reasons. First, ZETA's members are solely within the electric vehicle supply chain—they do not manufacture any vehicles with internal combustion engines. Second, ZETA's membership extends beyond electric vehicle manufacturers to include companies from the utility, mining, and charging sectors. Accordingly, ZETA may take different positions on the challenged action than the other Respondent-Intervenors. ZETA will coordinate with other parties to avoid duplication, but should be allowed to file its own brief.

As for word count, Respondent-Intervenors concur with the proposal that affords them 70% of the words allotted to the Petitioners and Respondents,

consistent with the ratio set forth in this Court's rules. *Compare* Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(i) with D.C. Cir. R. 32(e)(2)(b) (70% ratio). In addition, 18,200 words for Respondent-Intervenors is justified in this case because, as explained, there are five different groups of Respondent-Intervenors, a number of which have distinct interests. These include a trade association that represents virtually all regulated vehicle manufacturers, a major auto-manufacturing firm, a large group of States with recognized quasi-sovereign and sovereign interests in robust federal standards that reduce vehicular greenhouse gas emissions, a substantial number of environmental organizations, and a trade association representing electric zeroemitting vehicle manufacturers and other business interests invested in the development and adoption of advanced transportation technologies. The Respondent-Intervenors require 18,200 words, collectively, in order to address the issues from their unique positions.

* * *

For these reasons, the parties ask the Court to enter their proposed briefing format and schedule as set forth above.

Submitted on: July 3, 2024

Todd Kim Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Jin Hyung Lee
Sue Chen
Alex J. Hardee

Jin Hyung Lee
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources Div.
Environmental Defense Section
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 514-2640
jin.hyung.lee@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Respondents

RUSSELL COLEMAN Attorney General of Kentucky

/s/ Matthew F. Kuhn
Matthew F. Kuhn
Solicitor General
Victor B. Maddox
Counsel for Special Litigation
Jacob M. Abrahamson
Assistant Solicitor General
Office of the Kentucky
Attorney General
700 Capital Avenue, Suite 118
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
(502) 696-5300
Matt.Kuhn@ky.gov
Victor.Maddox@ky.gov
Jacob.Abrahamson@ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky

Patrick Morrisey Attorney General of West Virginia

/s/ Michael R. Williams
Michael R. Williams

Solicitor General Office of the West Virginia Attorney General State Capitol, Bldg 1, Room E-26 Charleston, West Virginia 25305 (681) 313-4550 Michael.R.Williams@wvago.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of West Virginia

STEVE MARSHALL **Attorney General of Alabama**

/s/ Edmund G. LaCour Jr.

Edmund G. LaCour Jr. Solicitor General Office of the Attorney General State of Alabama 501 Washington Avenue P.O. Box 300152 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-0152 Telephone: (334) 242-7300

Fax: (334) 353-8400

Edmund.LaCour@AlabamaAG.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama

TIM GRIFFIN Attorney General of Arkansas

/s/ Nicholas J. Bronni

Nicholas J. Bronni Solicitor General Dylan L. Jacobs Deputy Solicitor General Office of the Arkansas Attorney General 323 Center Street, Suite 200 Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 (501) 682-6302 Nicholas.Bronni@ArkansasAG.gov Dylan.Jacobs@ArkansasAG.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Arkansas

TREG R. TAYLOR Attorney General of Alaska

/s/ Masha Kazakova Masha Kazakova Assistant Attorney General Environmental Section Alaska Department of Law 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1994 (907) 269-5211 masha.kazakova@alaska.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Alaska

ASHLEY MOODY Attorney General of Florida

Henry C. Whitaker Solicitor General James H. Percival Chief of Staff Office of the Attorney General

/s/ Henry C. Whitaker

The Capitol, Pl-01 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050 (850) 414-3300

(850) 410-2672 (fax)

henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com james.percival@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for Petitioner State of Florida

CHRISTOPHER M. CARR Attorney General of Georgia

/s/ Stephen J. Petrany

Stephen J. Petrany Solicitor General Office of the Georgia Attorney General 40 Capitol Square, SW Atlanta, Georgia 30334 (404) 458-3408 spetrany@law.ga.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Georgia

THEODORE E. ROKITA Attorney General of Indiana

/s/ James A. Barta

James A. Barta
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
IGC South, Fifth Floor
302 West Washington Street
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 232-0709
James.Barta@atg.in.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Indiana

RAÚL R. LABRADOR Attorney General of Idaho

/s/ Joshua N. Turner Joshua N. Turner Chief of Constitutional Litigation and Policy

Alan M. Hurst

Solicitor General

Office of the Idaho

Attorney General

P.O. Box 83720

Boise, Idaho 83720-0010

Tel: (208) 334-2400

Fax: (208) 854-8071

Josh.Turner@ag.idaho.gov Alan.Hurst@ag.idaho.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Idaho

BRENNA BIRD Attorney General of Iowa

/s/ Eric H. Wessan

Eric H. Wessan
Solicitor General
1305 E. Walnut Street
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
(515) 823-9117
(515) 281-4209 (fax)
eric.wessan@ag.iowa.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Iowa

KRIS KOBACH Attorney General of Kansas

/s/ Anthony J. Powell

Anthony J. Powell

Solicitor General

Office of Kansas Attorney General Kris W. Kobach
120 SW 10th Avenue, 2nd Floor
Topeka, Kansas 66612

Office: (785) 368-8539 Fax: (785) 296-3131

Anthony.Powell@ag.ks.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas

LYNN FITCH Attorney General of Mississippi

/s/ Justin L. Matheny

Justin L. Matheny

Deputy Solicitor General

Office of the Mississippi

Attorney General

P.O. Box 220

Jackson, Mississippi 39205-0220

(601) 359-3825

justin.matheny@ago.ms.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Mississippi

LIZ MURRILL Attorney General of Louisiana

/s/ J. Benjamin Aguiñaga

J. Benjamin Aguiñaga
Solicitor General
Office of the Louisiana
Attorney General
1885 North Third Street
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802
(225) 485-2458
aguinagab@ag.louisiana.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana

ANDREW BAILEY

Attorney General of Missouri

/s/ Joshua M. Divine

Joshua M. Divine
Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
207 West High St.
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Phone: (573) 751-8870 Fax: (573) 751-1774 Josh.Divine@ago.mo.gov

Counsel for Petitioner

State of Missouri

AUSTIN KNUDSEN Attorney General of Montana

/s/ Christian B. Corrigan

Christian B. Corrigan
Solicitor General
Montana Department of Justice
215 North Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, Montana 59620-1401
(406) 444-2026
christian.corrigan@mt.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Montana

JOHN FORMELLA Attorney General of New Hampshire

/s/ Christopher G. Bond Christopher G. Bond Associate Attorney General New Hampshire Department of Justice 33 Capitol Street Concord, New Hampshire 03301 (603) 271-3643 Christopher.G.Bond@doj.nh.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of New Hampshire

MICHAEL T. HILGERS Attorney General of Nebraska

/s/ Grant D. Strobl
Grant D. Strobl
Assistant Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
of Nebraska
2115 State Capitol
Lincoln, NE 68509
(402) 471-2682
Grant.Strobl@nebraska.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Nebraska

DREW WRIGLEY Attorney General of North Dakota

/s/ Philip Axt
Philip Axt
Solicitor General
Office of Attorney General
600 East Boulevard Avenue,
Dept. 125
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505
(701) 328-2210
pjaxt@nd.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of North Dakota

DAVE YOST Attorney General of Ohio

/s/ T. Elliot Gaiser

T. Elliot Gaiser
Solicitor General
Mathura Sridharan
Deputy Solicitor General
Ohio Attorney General's Office
30 E. Broad Street, Floor 17
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-8980
elliot.gaiser@ohioago.gov
mathura.sridharan@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

ALAN WILSON Attorney General of South Carolina

/s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.
James Emory Smith, Jr.
South Carolina
Deputy Solicitor General
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
(803) 734-3642
esmith@scag.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina

GENTNER F. DRUMMOND Attorney General of Oklahoma

/s/ Garry M. Gaskins, II Garry M. Gaskins, II Solicitor General
Oklahoma Office of the
Attorney General
313 Northeast 21st Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
(405) 312-2451
Garry.Gaskins@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma

MARTY J. JACKLEY Attorney General of South Dakota

/s/ Steven Blair
Steven Blair
Deputy Attorney General
South Dakota Attorney
General's Office
1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1
Pierre, South Dakota 57501
(605) 773-3215
atgservice@state.sd.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of South Dakota

KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas

Brent Webster
First Assistant Attorney General

Aaron L. Nielson *Solicitor General*

/s/ Lanora C. Pettit Lanora C. Pettit Principal Deputy Solicitor General J. Andrew Mackenzie
Wesley S. Williams
Assistant Attorneys General
Office of Texas Attorney General
P.O. Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711
(512) 936-1700
Lanora.Petitt@oag.texas.gov

SEAN D. REYES Attorney General of Utah

/s/ Stanford E. Purser

Stanford E. Purser
Solicitor General
Office of the Utah Attorney General
160 East 300 Street, 5th Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
(385) 382-4334
spurser@agutah.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Utah

BRIDGET HILL Attorney General of Wyoming

/s/ Ryan Schelhaas

Ryan Schelhaas

Chief Deputy Attorney General

Wyoming Attorney General's Office
109 State Capitol
Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002
(307) 777-5786 phone
(307) 777-6869 fax
ryan.schelhaas@wyo.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Wyoming

JASON S. MIYARES Attorney General of Virginia

/s/ Kevin M. Gallagher

Kevin M. Gallagher

Principal Deputy Solicitor General

Brendan T. Chestnut

Deputy Solicitor General

Virginia Attorney General's Office

202 North 9th Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

(804) 786-2071

kgallagher@oag.state.va.us

bchestnut@oag.state.va.us

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Virginia

/s/ Jeffrey B. Wall

Jeffrey B. Wall

Morgan L. Ratner

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

1700 New York Avenue NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 956-7500

walli@sullcrom.com

Counsel for Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC and Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC

/s/ Paul D. Clement

Paul D. Clement

C. Harker Rhodes IV

Nicholas A. Aquart*

CLEMENT & MURPHY, PLLC

706 Duke Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

(202) 742-8900

paul.clement@clementmurphy.com

*Supervised by principals of the firm who are members of the Virginia bar

Counsel for Petitioners American Petroleum Institute, American Farm Bureau Federation, National Corn Growers Association, Baxter Ford, Inc., Celebrity Motor Cars, LLC, Celebrity Motors of Toms River, LLC, Celebrity of Springfield, LLC, Celebrity of Westchester, LLC, Gates Nissan LLC, AML Automotive Peoria, LLC, Loquercio Automotive, Inc., Loquercio Automotive GOE, LLC, Loquercio Automotive MCH, LLC, Loquercio Automotive MCH, LLC, Loquercio Automotive MCK, LLC, Loquercio Automotive South, Inc., Loquercio Automotive West, LLC, Raecom Holdings, LLC, and Tarver Motor Company, Inc.

/s/ Eric D. McArthur

Eric D. McArthur SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 736-8000 emcarthur@sidley.com

Counsel for Trade Association Petitioners in No. 24-1195

/s/ Brittany M. Pemberton

Brittany M. Pemberton BRACEWELL LLP 2001 M Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 828-5800 brittany.pemberton@bracewell.com

Counsel for International
Association of Machinists and
Aerospace Workers Lodge No.
823, Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC, and Valero Renewable Fuels Company,
LLC

/s/ Michael Buschbacher Michael Buschbacher Laura B. Ruppalt Boyden Gray PLLC 801 17th St. NW, #350 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 955-0620 mbuschbacher@boydengray.com

Counsel for American Free Enterprise Chamber of Commerce; Clean Fuels Development Coalition; ICM, Inc.; Illinois Corn Growers Association; Indiana Corn Growers Association; Iowa Corn Growers Association; Kansas Corn Growers Association; Kentucky Corn Growers Association; Michigan Corn Growers Association; Minnesota Corn Growers Association; Missouri Corn Growers Association; Nebraska Corn Growers Association; Ohio Corn and Wheat Growers Association; South Dakota Corn Growers Association; Tennessee Corn Growers Association; Wisconsin Corn Growers Association; Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC; and Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC

/s/ Matthew W. Morrison

Matthew W. Morrison
Shelby L. Dyl
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
1200 Seventeenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 663-8036
matthew.morrison@pillsburylaw.com
shelby.dyl@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Renewable Fuels Association and National Farmers Union

/s/ Justin D. Smith

D. John Sauer
Justin D. Smith
JAMES OTIS LAW GROUP, LLC
13321 North Outer Forty Road, Suite 300
St. Louis, Missouri 63017
(816) 678-2103
Justin.Smith@james-otis.com

Attorneys for Petitioners President of the Arizona State Senate Warren Petersen, Speaker of the Arizona House of Representatives Ben Toma, and the Arizona Trucking Association

/s/ Theodore Hadzi-Antich

Theodore Hadzi-Antich

Robert Henneke

Connor Mighell

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION

901 Congress Avenue

Austin, Texas 78701

(512) 472-2700

rhenneke@texaspolicy.com

tha@texaspolicy.com

cmighell@texaspolicy.com

Counsel for Petitioners Western States Trucking Association, Inc., and Construction Industry Air Quality Coalition, Inc.

FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ROB BONTA ATTORNEY GENERAL

MYUNG J. PARK DENNIS L. BECK, JR. Supervising Deputy Attorneys General

/s/ Micaela M. Harms

MICAELA M. HARMS THEODORE A. MCCOMBS M. ELAINE MECKENSTOCK Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 510-3743

Attorneys for the State of California by and through its Governor Gavin Newsom, its Attorney General Rob Bonta, and the California Air Resources Board, and on behalf of State and Local Government Respondent-Intervenors

/s/ Alice Henderson		
Alice Henderson		

Andrew P. Su Vickie L. Patton Peter Zalzal Environmental Defense Fund 2060 Broadway, Ste. 300 Boulder, CO 80302 (303) 447-7205 ahenderson@edf.org

Sean H. Donahue Megan M. Herzog Donahue, Goldberg & Herzog 1008 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20003 (202) 683-6895 sean@donahuegoldberg.com

Counsel for Environmental Defense Fund

Shaun Goho Veronica Saltzman Clean Air Task Force 114 State St. 6th Floor Boston, MA 02109 (617) 624-0234 sgoho@catf.us

Counsel for Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments, American Lung Association, American Public Health Association, Appalachian Mountain Club, Clean Air Council, and National Parks Conservation Association.

Maya Golden-Krasner Center for Biological Diversity 1212 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94612 (213) 215-3729 mgoldenkrasner@biologicaldiversity.org

Margaret A. Coulter Center for Biological Diversity 1411 K Street NW, Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 961-4820 mcoulter@biologicaldiversity.org

Counsel for Center for Biological Diversity

Emily K. Green Conservation Law Foundation 53 Exchange Street, Suite 200 Portland, ME 04101 (207) 210-6439 egreen@clf.org

Counsel for Conservation Law Foundation

Allison M. Zieve Public Citizen Litigation Group 1600 20th Street NW Washington, DC 20009 (202) 588-1000 azieve@citizen.org

Counsel for Public Citizen, Inc.

Rebecca Lowy Environmental Law & Policy Center 740 15th St NW STE 700 Washington, D.C. 20005 (312) 673-6500 rlowy@elpc.org

Counsel for Environmental Law & Policy Center

Ian Fein Natural Resources Defense Council 111 Sutter Street, 21st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 875-6100 ifein@nrdc.org Julia K. Forgie
Natural Resources Defense Council
1314 Second Street
Santa Monica, CA 90401
(310) 434-2300
jforgie@nrdc.org

Counsel for Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.

Joanne Spalding Andrea Issod Sierra Club 2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300 Oakland, CA 94612 (415) 977-5725 joanne.spalding@sierraclub.org

Joshua Berman Sierra Club 50 F Street NW, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20001 (202) 650-6062 josh.berman@sierraclub.org

Vera Pardee 726 Euclid Avenue Berkeley, CA 94708 (858) 717-1448 pardeelaw@gmail.com

Counsel for Sierra Club

Steven Croley
Chief Policy Officer and General Counsel
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
One American Road
Dearborn, MI 48126-2798
(313) 480-8803
scroley@ford.com

Evan Belser
Policy Strategist and Managing Counsel
Office of General Counsel
FORD MOTOR COMPANY
801 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 997-0217
ebelser1@ford.com

Charles H. Haake
Catherine M. W. Palin
ALLIANCE FOR AUTOMOTIVE
INNOVATION
1050 K Street, N.W. Suite 650
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 326-5500

/s/ Elisabeth S. Theodore
Jonathan S. Martel
Elisabeth S. Theodore
Samuel I. Ferenc
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER
LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20001-3743
(202) 942-5000
jonathan.martel@arnoldporter.com
elisabeth.theodore@arnoldporter.com
sam.ferenc@arnoldporter.com

Counsel for Ford Motor Company

/s/John C. O'Quinn
John C. O'Quinn
Counsel of Record
Stuart Drake
Annie Chiang
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 389-5000
john.oquinn@kirkland.com

Counsel for Intervenor Alliance for Automotive Innovation

/s/ Gary S. Guzy

Gary S. Guzy
Thomas Brugato
Covington & Burling LLP
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
(202) 662-6000
gguzy@cov.com
tbrugato@cov.com

Thomas Callahan Covington & Burling LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643 (424) 332-4812 tcallahan@cov.com

Attorneys for Movant-Intervenor Zero Emission Transportation Association

Certificates of Compliance and Service

I certify that this filing complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(1)(E) because it uses 14-point Times New Roman, a proportionally spaced font.

I also certify that this filing complies with Fed. R. App. P. 27(d)(2)(A), because by Microsoft Word's count, it has 1785 words, excluding the parts exempted under Fed. R. App. P. 32(f).

Finally, I certify that on July 3, 2024, I filed the foregoing with the Court's CMS/ECF system, which will notify each party.

/s/ Jin Hyung Lee
Jin Hyung Lee